

# Singular Points of Affine ML-Surfaces

Ratnadha Kolhatkar

**ABSTRACT.** We give a geometric proof of the fact that any affine surface with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant has finitely many singular points. We deduce that a complete intersection surface with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant is normal.

## 1. Notation and introduction

Let us first fix some notation and recall some basic definitions. Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified,  $\mathbf{k}$  will always denote a field of characteristic zero. A domain means an integral domain. Given a domain  $R$ ,  $\text{Frac } R$  denotes the field of fractions of  $R$ . By  $\mathbf{k}^{[n]}$ , we mean the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\text{Frac}(\mathbf{k}^{[n]})$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{k}^{(n)}$ . The set of singular points of a variety  $X$  will be denoted by  $\text{Sing}(X)$ .

**1.1. Definition.** Given a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra  $B$ , a derivation  $D : B \rightarrow B$  is *locally nilpotent* if for each  $b \in B$ , there exists a natural number  $n$  (depending on  $b$ ) such that  $D^n(b) = 0$ . We use the following notations:

$$\text{Der}(B) = \{ D \mid D \text{ is a derivation of } B \}$$

$$\text{LND}(B) = \{ D \in \text{Der}(B) \mid D \text{ is locally nilpotent} \}$$

$$\text{KLND}(B) = \{ \ker D \mid D \in \text{LND}(B), D \neq 0 \}$$

Given a  $\mathbf{k}$ -domain  $B$ , one defines its *Makar-Limanov invariant* by

$$\text{ML}(B) = \bigcap_{D \in \text{LND}(B)} \ker D.$$

If  $X = \text{Spec } B$  is an affine  $\mathbf{k}$ -variety, define  $\text{ML}(X) = \text{ML}(B)$ . The Makar-Limanov invariant plays an important role in classifying and distinguishing affine varieties. We say that  $B$  has trivial Makar-Limanov invariant if  $\text{ML}(B) = \mathbf{k}$ .

Affine spaces  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n$  are the simplest examples of varieties with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant. While it is known that  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1$  is the only affine curve which has trivial Makar-Limanov invariant, the class of affine surfaces

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14R10; Secondary 14R20, 14R25.

*Key words and phrases.* Affine surfaces, locally nilpotent derivations, Makar-Limanov invariant, group actions, fibrations.

with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant contains many more surfaces, some of which are not even normal. (See Example 5.4, for instance.)

Let  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$  denote the class of 2-dimensional affine  $\mathbf{k}$ -domains which have trivial Makar-Limanov invariant. We say that an affine surface  $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$  if  $R \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$ . Such a surface  $S$  is also called a *ML-surface*.

The following question arises naturally: *Classify all surfaces in the class  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$ .*

In recent years, researchers including Bandman, Daigle, Dubouloz, Gurjar, Masuda, Makar-Limanov, Miyanishi, and Russell (see [1], [3], [6], [7], [9], [11]) have been actively investigating properties of normal (or smooth) surfaces belonging to the class  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$ . However, it is desirable to understand what happens when we drop the assumption of normality. For instance, is it natural to ask *what are all hypersurfaces of the affine space  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^3$  with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant*, and it is not a priori clear that all those surfaces are normal: the fact that they are indeed normal is a consequence of the present paper.

In this paper, we prove that a surface in the class  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$  has only finitely many singular points. As an application, we prove that any complete intersection surface with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant is normal. Note that these results are valid over any field  $\mathbf{k}$  of characteristic zero. The results of this paper will be used in a joint paper with D. Daigle [5], where we classify all hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^3$  (more generally, complete intersection surfaces over  $\mathbf{k}$ ) with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant.

To understand the necessity of some of the arguments given in this paper, the reader should keep in mind certain pathologies that occur when  $\mathbf{k}$  is not assumed to be algebraically closed. For instance, surfaces  $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$  belonging to  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$  are not necessarily rational over  $\mathbf{k}$  and may have very few  $\mathbf{k}$ -rational points; moreover, if  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$  is the algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{k}$ , then  $\bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R$  is not necessarily an integral domain.

**Acknowledgements :** The author wishes to thank Professor Daniel Daigle for his many helpful suggestions and his valuable help in preparing this manuscript.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we gather some basic results and known facts.

**2.1.** Suppose that  $B$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -domain, let  $D$  be a nonzero locally nilpotent derivation of  $B$ , and let  $A = \ker D$ . The following are well-known definitions and facts about locally nilpotent derivations:

- (i)  $A$  is *factorially closed* in  $B$  (i.e., the conditions  $x, y \in B \setminus \{0\}$  and  $xy \in A$  imply that  $x, y \in A$ ). Consequently,  $A$  is algebraically closed in  $B$ .
- (ii) Consider the multiplicative set  $S = A \setminus \{0\}$  of  $B$ . We can extend  $D$  to an element  $\mathfrak{D} \in \operatorname{LND}(S^{-1}B)$  defined by  $\mathfrak{D}(\frac{b}{s}) = \frac{D(b)}{s}$ . It is well-known that  $S^{-1}B = (\operatorname{Frac} A)^{[1]}$ .

(iii) For every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ , the map

$$e^{\lambda D} : B \rightarrow B, \quad b \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \frac{D^n(b)}{n!}$$

is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra automorphism of  $B$ .

(iv) Let  $\pi : \operatorname{Spec} B \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$  be the canonical morphism induced by the inclusion map  $A \hookrightarrow B$ . Then there exists a nonempty open set  $U \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} A$  such that

$$\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}^1 \text{ for every } \mathfrak{p} \in U, \text{ where } \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ is the residue field } A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Furthermore, if  $\mathbf{k}$  is algebraically closed and  $A$  is  $\mathbf{k}$ -affine, then

$$\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})}^1 = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1 \text{ for every closed point } \mathfrak{m} \text{ of } U.$$

**2.2. Lemma.** *Given an affine  $\mathbf{k}$ -surface  $X = \operatorname{Spec} B$ , let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two affine subalgebras of  $B$  of dimension 1. Set  $Y_i = \operatorname{Spec} A_i$  and let  $Y_1 \xleftarrow{f_1} \operatorname{Spec} B \xrightarrow{f_2} Y_2$  be the canonical morphisms determined by the inclusions  $A_i \hookrightarrow B$  (for  $i = 1, 2$ ). If  $B$  is algebraic over its subalgebra  $\mathbf{k}[A_1 \cup A_2]$ , then*

$$E = \{ y \in Y_2 \mid f_1(f_2^{-1}(y)) \text{ is a point} \}$$

*is not a dense subset of  $Y_2$ , where by “ $y \in Y_2$ ” we mean that  $y$  is a closed point of  $Y_2$ .*

We leave the proof of Lemma 2.2 to the reader, as it is basic algebraic geometry and is not directly related to the subject matter of this paper.

**2.3. Definition.** A domain  $A$  of transcendence degree 1 over a field  $\mathbf{k}$  is called a *polynomial curve* over  $\mathbf{k}$  if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- (i)  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{k}^{[1]}$ .
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Frac} A = \mathbf{k}^{(1)}$  and  $A$  has one rational place at infinity.

**2.4. Notation.** Given a field extension  $F/\mathbf{k}$ , let  $\mathbb{P}_{F/\mathbf{k}}$  be the set of valuation rings  $R$  of  $F/\mathbf{k}$  such that  $R \neq F$ .

**2.5. Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbf{k}$ -domain. If there exists an algebraic extension  $\mathbf{k}'$  of  $\mathbf{k}$  such that  $\mathbf{k}' \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$  is a polynomial curve over  $\mathbf{k}'$ , then  $A$  is a polynomial curve over  $\mathbf{k}$ .*

PROOF. We sketch a proof of this fact, as we were unable to find a suitable reference. It is easy to prove that  $A$  is affine. We may assume that  $[\mathbf{k}' : \mathbf{k}] < \infty$ . Let  $F = \operatorname{Frac} A$  and  $F' = \operatorname{Frac} A'$ , where  $A' = \mathbf{k}' \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$ . Note that  $[F' : F] = [\mathbf{k}' : \mathbf{k}]$  and  $F' = \mathbf{k}'F$ . In the terminology of [12], the function field  $F'/\mathbf{k}'$  is an algebraic constant field extension of  $F/\mathbf{k}$ . By Theorem III.6.3 of [12],  $F'/\mathbf{k}'$  has same genus as  $F/\mathbf{k}$  (hence,  $F/\mathbf{k}$  has genus zero) and  $F'/F$  is unramified. It remains to prove that  $A$  has one rational place at infinity. Let

$$E = \{ R \in \mathbb{P}_{F/\mathbf{k}} \mid A \not\subseteq R \} \text{ and } E' = \{ R' \in \mathbb{P}_{F'/\mathbf{k}'} \mid \mathbf{k}' \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A \not\subseteq R' \}.$$

If  $R$  is any element of  $E$ , then every  $R' \in \mathbb{P}_{F'/\mathbf{k}'}$  lying over  $R$  (i.e., satisfying  $R' \cap F = R$ ) must belong to  $E'$ . But  $E'$  is a singleton, say  $E' = \{R'\}$ . It follows that  $E$  is a singleton, say  $E = \{R\}$ . Let  $\kappa'$  and  $\kappa$  be the residue fields of  $R'$  and  $R$ , respectively. Then  $[F' : F] = ef$ , where  $f = [\kappa' : \kappa]$  and  $e$  is the ramification index of  $R'$  over  $R$ . As  $F'/F$  is unramified, we have  $e = 1$ . Since  $\mathbf{k}' \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$  is a polynomial curve over  $\mathbf{k}'$ ,  $\kappa' = \mathbf{k}'$ . Hence

$$[\mathbf{k}' : \mathbf{k}] = [F' : F] = ef = [\kappa' : \kappa] = [\mathbf{k}' : \mathbf{k}].$$

Thus,  $\kappa = \mathbf{k}$  and  $A$  has one rational place at infinity.  $\square$

The following lemma can be obtained as an easy consequence of [4, Lemma 3.1].

**2.6. Lemma.** *Let  $B$  be a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra and  $f(T) \in B[T]$ , where  $T$  is an indeterminate.*

(a) *If  $f(T)$  has infinitely many roots in  $\mathbf{k}$ , then  $f(T) = 0$ .*

(b) *If  $J$  is an ideal of  $B$  and  $f(\lambda) \in J$  for infinitely many  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ , then  $f(T) \in J[T]$ .*

**2.7. Definition.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $D \in \text{Der}(R)$ . An ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called an *integral ideal* for  $D$  if  $D(I) \subseteq I$ .

**2.8. Lemma.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbf{k}$ -domain, and let  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . If  $A \in \text{KLND}(R)$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1)  $I \cap A \neq (0)$ .

(2) *There exists  $D \in \text{LND}(R)$  such that  $\ker D = A$  and  $I$  is an integral ideal for  $D$ .*

PROOF. Assume that (1) holds. Let  $0 \neq a \in I \cap A$ , and let  $E \in \text{LND}(R)$  be such that  $A = \ker E$ . Since  $a \in A$ ,  $aE \in \text{LND}(R)$  and  $aE$  has kernel  $A$ . Moreover, as  $a \in I$ ,  $(aE)(b) = a(Eb) \in I$  for all  $b \in I$ . So  $(aE)(I) \subseteq I$ , and hence  $D := aE$  is the required locally nilpotent derivation of  $R$  proving assertion (2).

In the other direction, assume that  $0 \neq D \in \text{LND}(R)$ ,  $\ker D = A$ , and  $D(I) \subseteq I$ . Choose any  $b \in I$ ,  $b \neq 0$ . Then the set  $\{b, Db, D^2b, \dots\}$  is included in  $I$  and contains a nonzero element of  $A$ .  $\square$

The following is an easy consequence of [2, Lemma 2.10].

**2.9. Lemma.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra, and let  $D \in \text{Der}(R)$ . If  $I$  is an integral ideal for  $D$ , so is every minimal prime-over ideal of  $I$ .*

**2.10. Lemma.** *Let  $B$  be a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra,  $J$  an ideal of  $B$ , and  $D \in \text{LND}(B)$ . If  $e^{tD}(J) \subseteq J$  for some nonzero  $t \in \mathbf{k}$ , then  $J$  is an integral ideal for  $D$ .*

PROOF. First observe that if  $e^{tD}(J) \subseteq J$  for some nonzero  $t \in \mathbf{k}$ , then  $e^{tD}(J) \subseteq J$  for infinitely many  $t \in \mathbf{k}$ . Let  $f \in J$ . We will show that  $D(f) \in J$ . Let  $n = \deg_D(f)$ , i.e.,  $n$  is the maximum nonnegative integer such that  $D^n(f) \neq 0$ . Define a polynomial  $P(T) \in B[T]$  by

$$P(T) = f + D(f)T + \frac{D^2(f)T^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{D^n(f)T^n}{n!}.$$

Then for infinitely many  $t \in \mathbf{k}$ ,

$$P(t) = f + D(f)t + \frac{D^2(f)t^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{D^n(f)t^n}{n!} = e^{tD}(f) \in J.$$

By Lemma 2.6, all coefficients of  $P(T)$  belong to  $J$ , so  $D(f) \in J$ .  $\square$

**2.11. Lemma.** *Let  $B$  be an affine  $\mathbf{k}$ -domain, and let  $D \in \text{LND}(B)$ . If  $\tilde{B}$  denotes the normalization of  $B$ , then there exists  $\tilde{D} \in \text{LND}(\tilde{B})$  such that  $\ker \tilde{D} \cap B = \ker D$ .*

PROOF. We recall the well-known argument. Write  $A = \ker D$  and let  $S = A \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $D$  extends to a locally nilpotent derivation  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $S^{-1}B$  such that  $B \cap \ker \mathfrak{D} = A$ . As  $S^{-1}B$  is a polynomial ring over the field  $S^{-1}A$ , it is normal, and consequently  $B \subseteq \tilde{B} \subseteq S^{-1}B$ . It follows that there exists  $s \in S$  such that the locally nilpotent derivation  $s\mathfrak{D} : S^{-1}B \rightarrow S^{-1}B$  maps  $\tilde{B}$  into itself. The restriction  $\tilde{D} : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$  of  $s\mathfrak{D}$  satisfies  $\ker \tilde{D} \cap B = \ker D$ .  $\square$

**2.12. Lemma.** *For a two-dimensional affine  $\mathbf{k}$ -domain  $R$ ,*

$$|\text{KLND}(R)| > 1 \text{ if and only if } \text{ML}(R) \text{ is algebraic over } \mathbf{k}.$$

PROOF. Assume that  $\text{ML}(R)$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{k}$ . Since  $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbf{k}} A = 1$  for any  $A \in \text{KLND}(R)$ , it follows that  $|\text{KLND}(R)| > 1$ . In the other direction, let  $A$  and  $A'$  be distinct elements of  $\text{KLND}(R)$ . As  $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbf{k}} A = 1 = \text{trdeg}_{\mathbf{k}} A'$  and  $A \cap A'$  is algebraically closed in  $R$ , it follows that  $A \cap A'$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{k}$ . Hence  $\text{ML}(R)$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{k}$ .  $\square$

**2.13. Corollary.** *If  $R \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$ , then  $\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k}')$  for some algebraic field extension  $\mathbf{k}' \supseteq \mathbf{k}$  such that  $\mathbf{k}' \subset \tilde{R}$ . In particular, if  $\mathbf{k}$  is algebraically closed, then  $\text{ML}(\tilde{R}) = \mathbf{k}$ .*

PROOF. As  $R \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$ , we get  $|\text{KLND}(R)| > 1$  by Lemma 2.12. Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be distinct elements of  $\text{KLND}(R)$ . There exist  $\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2 \in \text{KLND}(\tilde{R})$  satisfying  $\tilde{A}_i \cap R = A_i$  (cf. 2.11), so  $|\text{KLND}(\tilde{R})| > 1$ . Hence  $\text{ML}(\tilde{R})$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{k}$  and is a field, say,  $\text{ML}(\tilde{R}) = \mathbf{k}'$ . Then clearly,  $\mathbf{k} \subseteq \mathbf{k}' \subset \tilde{R}$  and  $\mathbf{k}'$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{k}$ .  $\square$

**2.14. Lemma.** *Let  $B \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$ . If  $B$  is normal and  $A \in \text{KLND}(B)$ , then  $A \cong \mathbf{k}^{[1]}$ .*

PROOF. This result is well-known when  $\mathbf{k}$  is algebraically closed. (See 2.3 of [6], for instance.) To prove the general case, denote the algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{k}$  by  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$ . Let  $A \in \text{KLND}(B)$  and note that  $A$  is a 1-dimensional noetherian normal domain. To prove that  $A \cong \mathbf{k}^{[1]}$ , it suffices to check that  $A \subseteq \mathbf{k}^{[1]}$ . By Lemma 3.7 of [3],  $\mathcal{B} := \bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} B$  is an integral domain and  $\text{ML}(\mathcal{B}) = \bar{\mathbf{k}}$ . If  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  denotes the normalization of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $\text{ML}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}) = \bar{\mathbf{k}}$  by Corollary 2.13. Note that each element of  $\text{KLND}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})$  is isomorphic to  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}^{[1]}$ . Given  $A \in \text{KLND}(B)$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A \in \text{KLND}(\mathcal{B})$  and there exists  $D \in \text{LND}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})$  such that  $\ker D \cap \mathcal{B} = \bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$  (cf. Lemma 2.11). As  $\ker D \cong \bar{\mathbf{k}}^{[1]}$ , it follows that  $\bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A \subseteq \bar{\mathbf{k}}^{[1]}$ . Then  $A \subseteq \mathbf{k}^{[1]}$  by Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

### 3. Completion of surfaces and fibrations

Throughout Section 3, we fix  $\mathbf{k}$  to be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. All varieties are assumed to be  $\mathbf{k}$ -varieties. In this section, we state some properties of affine normal surfaces, fibrations on

such surfaces, and completions of such surfaces. The material of this section is well-known.

**3.1.** Let  $S$  be a complete normal surface. By an *SNC-divisor* on  $S$ , we mean a Weil divisor  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i$  where  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  are distinct irreducible curves on  $S$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\text{Supp}(D) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$  is included in  $S \setminus \text{Sing}(S)$ .
- (ii) Each irreducible component  $C_i$  of  $D$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .
- (iii) If  $i \neq j$  then  $C_i \cdot C_j \leq 1$ .
- (iv) If  $i, j, k$  are distinct then  $C_i \cap C_j \cap C_k = \emptyset$ .

**3.2. Definition.** An  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration (respectively, a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration) on a surface  $S$  is a surjective morphism  $\rho : S \rightarrow Z$  on a nonsingular curve  $Z$  whose general fibres are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1$  (respectively, to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ). For our purposes, we will always consider  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibrations whose codomain  $Z$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ .

**3.3. Definition.** Let  $S$  be an affine normal surface and  $\rho : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration. By a *completion of the pair*  $(S, \rho)$ , we mean a commutative diagram of morphisms of algebraic varieties

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S & \hookrightarrow & \bar{S} \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\rho} \\ \mathbb{A}^1 & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

such that the “ $\hookrightarrow$ ” are open immersions,  $\bar{S}$  is a complete normal surface, and  $\bar{S} \setminus S$  is the support of an SNC-divisor of  $\bar{S}$ .

It is well-known that given any affine normal surface  $S$  and an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration  $\rho : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ , there exists a completion of  $(S, \rho)$ .

**3.4. Setup.** Throughout Paragraph 3.4, we assume:

- (i)  $S$  is an affine normal surface.
- (ii)  $\rho : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration.
- (iii)  $(\bar{S}, \bar{\rho})$  is a completion of  $(S, \rho)$ , with notation as in Diagram (1); we let  $D$  be the SNC-divisor of  $\bar{S}$  whose support is  $\bar{S} \setminus S$ .

As  $\bar{S}$  is complete,  $\bar{\rho}$  is closed. So given any curve  $C \subset \bar{S}$ ,  $\bar{\rho}(C)$  is either a point or all of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Accordingly we have:

**3.4.1. Definition.** A curve  $C \subset \bar{S}$  is said to be  $\bar{\rho}$ -vertical if  $\bar{\rho}(C)$  is a point. Otherwise, we say that the curve is  $\bar{\rho}$ -horizontal. Thus  $C \subset \bar{S}$  is  $\bar{\rho}$ -horizontal if and only if  $\bar{\rho}(C) = \mathbb{P}^1$ .

**3.4.2. Lemma.** Let the setup be as in 3.4.

- (a) For a general point  $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $\bar{\rho}^{-1}(z) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\bar{\rho}^{-1}(z) \cap S \cong \mathbb{A}^1$ . In particular,  $\bar{\rho} : \bar{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration.
- (b) Exactly one irreducible component of  $D$  is  $\bar{\rho}$ -horizontal.

PROOF. As these facts are well-known, we only sketch the proof. By commutativity of Diagram (1),  $\bar{\rho}^{-1}(z) \cap S = \rho^{-1}(z) \cong \mathbb{A}^1$  for general  $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Assertion (a) follows from this. It also follows that the general fibre  $\bar{\rho}^{-1}(z)$  meets  $D$  in exactly one point, and this implies that  $D$  has exactly one horizontal component.  $\square$

#### 4. Geometry of surfaces in the class $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$

In this section,  $\mathbf{k}$  is an arbitrary field of characteristic zero (except in 4.1 and 4.3, where it is assumed to be algebraically closed).

**4.1. Setup.** The following assumptions and notations are valid throughout Paragraph 4.1. Suppose that  $\mathbf{k}$  is algebraically closed. Fix  $B \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$ , suppose that  $B$  is normal, and let  $S = \text{Spec } B$ . Consider distinct elements  $A_1, A_2 \in \text{KLND}(B)$  and recall from 2.14 that  $A_i \cong \mathbf{k}^{[1]}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\rho_i : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  be the morphism determined by the inclusion  $A_i \hookrightarrow B$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . It follows from 2.1(iv) that  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibrations, and 2.2 implies that  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  have distinct general fibres. Choose a complete normal surface  $\bar{S}$  and morphisms  $\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2 : \bar{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  such that, for each  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $(\bar{S}, \bar{\rho}_i)$  is a completion of  $(S, \rho_i)$  in the sense of 3.3. We also consider the following diagram:

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bar{S} \\ \rho_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\rho}_1 \\ \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \rho_2 \downarrow & \\ & & \downarrow \bar{\rho}_2 \end{array}$$

Let  $\infty$  be such that  $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{A}^1 \cup \{\infty\}$  in Diagram (2). For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $H_i$  be the unique irreducible component of  $D = \bar{S} \setminus S$  which is  $\bar{\rho}_i$ -horizontal. (See Lemma 3.4.2.)

**4.1.1. Lemma.** *We have  $\bar{\rho}_1(H_2) = \{\infty\}$  and  $\bar{\rho}_2(H_1) = \{\infty\}$ . In particular,  $H_1 \neq H_2$ .*

PROOF. Recall that  $H_i \subseteq D$  and  $\bar{\rho}_i(H_i) = \mathbb{P}^1$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ . For a general  $z_1 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $(\bar{\rho}_1)^{-1}(z_1) = C_1$ , where  $C_1$  is an irreducible curve of  $\bar{S}$  which intersects  $H_1$  in a unique point, say  $Q$ . As  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  have distinct general fibres, we choose  $z_1$  so that  $\rho_2(\rho_1^{-1}(z_1))$  is not a point. Then  $\bar{\rho}_2(C_1)$  is not a point, so  $\bar{\rho}_2(C_1) = \mathbb{P}^1$ . Choose  $Q_1 \in C_1$  such that  $\bar{\rho}_2(Q_1) = \{\infty\}$ . Clearly,  $Q_1 \in D$ . Since  $C_1$  meets  $D$  in exactly one point,  $C_1 \cap D = \{Q_1\}$ . Consequently,  $\{Q\} = C_1 \cap H_1 \subseteq C_1 \cap D = \{Q_1\}$ . It follows that  $\{Q_1\} = C_1 \cap H_1$ . Repeating this process for infinitely many points  $z_i$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , we get infinitely many points  $Q_i \in H_1$  satisfying  $\bar{\rho}_1(Q_i) = z_i$  and  $\bar{\rho}_2(Q_i) = \{\infty\}$ . Hence we conclude that  $\bar{\rho}_2(H_1) = \{\infty\}$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $\bar{\rho}_1(H_2) = \{\infty\}$ . As  $\bar{\rho}_1(H_1) = \mathbb{P}^1 = \bar{\rho}_2(H_2)$ , it follows immediately that  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are distinct.  $\square$

**4.1.2. Proposition.** *There does not exist an irreducible curve  $C \subset S$  such that  $\rho_1(C)$  and  $\rho_2(C)$  are points.*

PROOF. By contradiction, suppose that there exists an irreducible curve  $C_0$  of  $S$  such that  $\rho_1(C_0) = a_1$  and  $\rho_2(C_0) = a_2$  for some points  $a_i \in \mathbb{A}^1$ . Consider  $C := \bar{C}_0$ , the closure of  $C_0$  in  $\bar{S}$ . Then  $C$  is a curve in  $\bar{S}$  such that  $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_1(C) = a_1$ , and  $\bar{\rho}_2(C) = a_2$  (where  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ ). Since  $D$  is connected, there is an integer  $k \geq 1$  and a sequence  $D_1, \dots, D_k$  of irreducible components of  $D$  satisfying:

- For each  $1 \leq i < k$ ,  $D_i$  is  $\bar{\rho}_1$ -vertical and  $\bar{\rho}_2$ -vertical, and  $D_k \in \{H_1, H_2\}$ .
- $C \cap D_1 \neq \emptyset$ , and  $D_i \cap D_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$  (for  $1 \leq i < k$ ).



Note that  $\bar{\rho}_j(D_k) = \infty$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since  $C \cup D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_k$  is connected, it follows that  $\bar{\rho}_j(C \cup D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_k)$  is connected and is a finite set of points, i.e., is one point. But  $a_j, \infty \in \bar{\rho}_j(C \cup D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_k)$ , so we obtain a contradiction.  $\square$

For the remainder of this paper, we assume that  $\mathbf{k}$  is an arbitrary field of characteristic zero.

**4.2. Definition.** Let  $B$  be an integral domain of characteristic zero. We say that  $B$  has property  $(*)$  if  $B$  has no height 1 proper ideal  $I$  which intersects two distinct elements  $A_1, A_2 \in \text{KLND}(B)$  nontrivially. That is,  $B$  has property  $(*)$  if  $I \cap A_1 = 0$  or  $I \cap A_2 = 0$  for all height 1 proper ideals  $I$  of  $B$  and all distinct  $A_1, A_2 \in \text{KLND}(B)$ .

Our next goal is to prove Theorem 4.6. We do this in several steps, as follows.

**4.3. Corollary.** *Suppose that  $\mathbf{k}$  is algebraically closed and that  $B \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$  is normal. Then  $B$  has property  $(*)$ .*

PROOF. By contradiction, suppose that there exist distinct  $A_1, A_2 \in \text{KLND}(B)$  and a height 1 ideal  $I$  of  $B$  such that  $I \cap A_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Pick a height 1 prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $B$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$ , and note that  $\mathfrak{p} \cap A_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . So the irreducible curve  $C = V(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \text{Spec } B$  is mapped to a point by each canonical morphism  $\rho_i : \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). This contradicts 4.1.2.  $\square$

**4.4. Notation.** Let  $B \subseteq B'$  be integral domains of characteristic zero. We write  $B \triangleleft B'$  to indicate that  $B'$  is integral over  $B$  and that, for each  $A \in \text{KLND}(B)$ , there exists  $A' \in \text{KLND}(B')$  such that  $A' \cap B = A$ . Clearly,  $\triangleleft$  is a transitive relation.

**4.5. Lemma.** *Let  $B, B'$  be integral domains of characteristic zero such that  $B \triangleleft B'$ . If  $B'$  has property  $(*)$ , then so does  $B$ .*

PROOF. Let  $I \neq B$  be a height 1 ideal of  $B$  and let  $A_1, A_2 \in \text{KLND}(B)$  satisfy  $I \cap A_i \neq 0$ . As  $B'$  is integral over  $B$ ,  $IB' \neq B'$  and  $\text{ht } IB' = 1$ . Since  $B \triangleleft B'$ , there exist  $A'_1, A'_2 \in \text{KLND}(B')$  such that  $A'_i \cap B = A_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Moreover,  $A'_i \cap IB' \supset A_i \cap I \neq 0$ . Since  $B'$  has property  $(*)$ , it follows that  $A'_1 = A'_2$ . Consequently,  $A_1 = A_2$ .  $\square$

Recall that  $\mathbf{k}$  is an arbitrary field of characteristic zero.

**4.6. Theorem.** *Each element  $B$  of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$  has property  $(*)$ .*

PROOF. If  $\tilde{B}$  denotes the normalization of  $B$ ,  $B \triangleleft \tilde{B}$  follows by Lemma 2.11. Moreover, Corollary 2.13 implies that  $\tilde{B} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k}')$  for some field  $\mathbf{k}'$ . As  $B \triangleleft \tilde{B}$ , it suffices to prove the theorem when  $B$  is normal by Lemma 4.5.

If  $B$  is normal,  $\mathcal{B} = \bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} B$  is an integral domain and  $\text{ML}(\mathcal{B}) = \bar{\mathbf{k}}$  by Lemma 3.7 of [3]. Then the normalization  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{M}(\bar{\mathbf{k}})$  by Corollary 2.13, so  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  has property  $(*)$  by 4.3. It suffices to prove that  $B \triangleleft \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  because then the result follows by Lemma 4.5.



As  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$  is integral over  $\mathbf{k}$ , it follows that  $\bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} B$  is integral over  $\mathbf{k} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} B \cong B$ . Furthermore, given  $A \in \text{KLND}(B)$ ,  $\bar{A} = \bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$  belongs to  $\text{KLND}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})$  and satisfies  $\bar{A} \cap (\bar{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} B) = A$ . This proves that  $B \triangleleft \bar{\mathcal{B}}$ . Finally,  $\bar{\mathcal{B}} \triangleleft \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}$  and  $\triangleleft$  is transitive, so it follows that  $B \triangleleft \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}$ .  $\square$

**4.7. Remark.** Every two-dimensional affine  $\mathbf{k}$ -domain has property (\*). Indeed, let  $B$  be such a ring. If  $|\text{KLND}(B)| \leq 1$ , then it is trivial that  $B$  has property (\*). If  $|\text{KLND}(B)| > 1$  then  $B \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k}')$  for some field  $\mathbf{k}'$ , where  $\mathbf{k}'$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{k}$  (cf. Lemma 2.12). Then the result follows from Theorem 4.6

**4.8. Definition.** An affine scheme  $\text{Spec } A$  is *regular in codimension 1* if and only if  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular for every height 1 prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$ .

**4.9. Theorem.** [10, Thm 73, p.246] *Let  $A$  an affine domain containing a field. Then*

$$U = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A \mid A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is a regular local ring} \}$$

*is a nonempty open subset of the affine scheme  $X = \text{Spec } A$ .*

**4.10. Proposition.** *Let  $B$  be an affine  $\mathbf{k}$ -domain. If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a height 1 prime ideal of  $B$  such that  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not regular, then  $D(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for every  $D \in \text{LND}(B)$ .*

PROOF. The set  $T = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } B \mid B_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not regular} \}$  is a closed and proper subset of  $X := \text{Spec } B$ . For every  $\mathfrak{p} \in T$  satisfying  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$ , the closure  $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}$  is an irreducible component of  $T$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the unique generic point of that component. As  $T$  has only finitely many irreducible components, it follows that  $T$  contains only finitely many prime ideals of height 1. Denote these prime ideals by  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ .

Pick  $\mathfrak{p} \in \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$  and  $D \in \text{LND}(B)$ . We will prove that  $D(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . In view of Lemma 2.10, it is enough to show that

$$(3) \quad e^{\lambda D}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \text{ for some nonzero } \lambda \in \mathbf{k}.$$

As the group  $\text{Aut}(B)$  acts on the set  $T$ , it follows that it acts on  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ . Furthermore,  $\mathbf{k} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{ \lambda \in \mathbf{k} \mid e^{\lambda D}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}_i \}$ . Since  $\mathbf{k}$  is infinite, there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\Omega := \{ \lambda \in \mathbf{k} \mid e^{\lambda D}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}_i \}$  is infinite. Pick distinct elements  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  of  $\Omega$ . Then  $e^{(-\lambda_2 + \lambda_1)D}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . So (3) is true.  $\square$

**4.11. Corollary.** *If  $B \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$  and  $X = \text{Spec } B$ , then the set*

$$\text{Sing}(X) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } B \mid B_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not a regular local ring} \}$$

*is finite. Consequently,  $B$  is regular in codimension 1.*

PROOF. The set  $T = \text{Sing } X$  is a proper closed subset of  $X$ , so  $\dim T \leq 1$ . It follows by 4.10 that given a height 1 prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $B$  belonging to  $T$ ,  $D(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for every  $D \in \text{LND}(B)$ . Then 2.8 implies that  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \ker D \neq 0$  for every  $D \in \text{LND}(B)$ . Since  $B$  has property (\*) by 4.6, we obtain that the set  $\text{KLND}(B)$  is a singleton, a contradiction. So  $T$  contains no height 1 prime ideal; consequently,  $B$  is regular in codimension 1. This also proves that  $\dim T = 0$ . So  $T$  is a finite set of maximal ideals.  $\square$

## 5. An application to complete intersections

**5.1. Definition.** Let  $A$  be a domain containing a field  $\mathbf{k}$ . We say that  $A$  is a *complete intersection over  $\mathbf{k}$*  if it is isomorphic to a quotient

$$\mathbf{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_p)$$

for some  $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $(f_1, \dots, f_p)$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathbf{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  of height  $p$ . If  $R$  is a complete intersection over  $\mathbf{k}$ , we also call  $\text{Spec } R$  a complete intersection over  $\mathbf{k}$ .

Recall the following criterion for noetherian normal rings due to Serre.

**5.2. Theorem.** (Serre) *A noetherian ring  $A$  is normal if and only if it satisfies*

$(R_1)$   $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  with  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq 1$ , and

$(S_2)$   $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min(\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}, 2)$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ .

**5.3. Corollary.** *Let  $B \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$ . If  $B$  satisfies Serre's condition  $(S_2)$ , then  $B$  is normal. In particular, complete intersection surfaces in the class  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$  are normal.*

PROOF. Consider  $B \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{k})$  and suppose that  $B$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ . To show that  $B$  is normal, it suffices to prove that  $B$  satisfies  $(R_1)$ . So let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } B$ . If  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 0$ , then clearly  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular. If  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$ ,  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular by Corollary 4.11.

If  $B$  is a complete intersection, then  $B$  is Cohen-Macaulay (cf. [8, Prop.18.13]), and so it satisfies  $(S_2)$  (cf. [10, 17.I, p.125]). Then the result follows by the previous case.  $\square$

**5.4. Example.** Let  $B = \mathbf{k}[x, xy, y^2, y^3]$ . Then  $D = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ ,  $E = y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  are two nonzero locally nilpotent derivations of  $B$  and  $\text{ML}(B) = \mathbf{k}$ . Note that  $B$  is not normal. So by Corollary 5.3,  $\text{Spec } B$  is not a complete intersection surface over  $\mathbf{k}$ . By similar arguments, we can prove that  $S := \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[x^2, x^3, y^3, y^4, y^5, xy, x^2y, xy^2, xy^3]$  is a ML-surface which is not a complete intersection surface over  $\mathbf{k}$ .

## References

- [1] T. Bandman and L. Makar-Limanov, *Affine surfaces with  $\text{AK}(S) = \mathbb{C}$* , Michigan Math. J. **49** (2001), no. 3, 567-582.
- [2] D. Daigle, *Triangular derivations of  $\mathbf{k}[X, Y, Z]$* , to appear in J. Pure Appl. Algebra.
- [3] D. Daigle, *Affine surfaces with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), no. 8, 3100-3111.
- [4] D. Daigle, *Locally nilpotent derivations*, Lecture notes prepared for the "September school of algebraic geometry", (2003). Avail. at <http://aix1.uottawa.ca/~ddaigle/>
- [5] D. Daigle and R. Kolhatkar, *Complete intersection surfaces with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant*, preprint.
- [6] D. Daigle and P. Russell, *On log  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology planes and weighted projective planes*, Canad. J. Math. **56** (2004), no. 6, 1145-1189.
- [7] A. Dubouloz, *Completions of normal affine surfaces with a trivial Makar-Limanov invariant*, Michigan Math. J. **52** (2004), no. 2, 289-308.
- [8] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a view toward Algebraic Geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math., v. **150**, 1995.
- [9] R. V. Gurjar and M. Miyanishi, *Automorphisms of affine surfaces with  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibrations*, Michigan Math. J. **53** (2005), no. 1, 33-55.

- [10] H. Matsumura, Commutative Algebra, W. A. Benjamin, New York, Second edition, 1980.
- [11] K. Masuda and M. Miyanishi, *The additive group actions on  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology planes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **53** (2003), no. 2, 429-464.
- [12] H. Stichtenoth, Algebraic Function Fields and Codes, Universitext, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA, OTTAWA, CANADA K1N6N5

*E-mail address:* rkohlh090@uottawa.ca